- 1						
2						
3				FILED - SOUTHERN DIVISION		
4				CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
5				JUL 1 2011		
6 7				CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY Shy DEPUTY		
8			UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT		
9			CENTRAL DISTRIC			
10			C21 (11 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			
	UNITED S	TATE	S OF AMERICA,) Case No.: SA 11-350M - 3		
12			Plaintiff,) ORDER OF DETENTION		
	vs.					
14			,			
15	DAVID WILTERDING,) Defendant.)					
16						
17			I.			
18	A. (X)	On n	notion of the Government in	a case allegedly involving:		
19	1.	()	a crime of violence.			
20	2.	()	an offense with maximum	sentence of life imprisonment or death.		
21	3.	(X)	a narcotics or controlled s	substance offense with maximum sentence		
22			of ten or more years.			
23	4.	()	any felony - where defend	lant convicted of two or more prior		
24			offenses described above.			
25	5.	()	any felony that is not other	erwise a crime of violence that involves a		
26			minor victim, or possession	on or use of a firearm or destructive device		
27			or any other dangerous w	eapon, or a failure to register under 18		
28			U.S.C. § 2250.			

1	B.	(X)	On motion by the Government/() on Court's own motion, in a case				
2			allegedly involving:				
3		(X)	On the further allegation by the Government of:				
4			1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee.				
5			2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:				
6			a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.				
7			b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or				
8			juror, or attempt to do so.				
9	C.	The C	Government (X) is/() is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no				
10		condi	tion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's				
11		appea	arance as required and the safety or any person or the community.				
12							
13			II.				
14	A.	(X)	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will				
15			reasonably assure:				
16		1.	(X) the appearance of the defendant as required.				
17			(X) and/or				
18		2.	(X) the safety of any person or the community.				
19	В.	(X)	The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence				
20			to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.				
21							
22			III.				
23		The C	The Court has considered:				
24	A.	(X)	the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether				
25			the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves				
26			a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive				
27			device;				
28	В.	(X)	the weight of evidence against the defendant;				
			Page 2 of 4				

1	C.	(X)	the history and characteristics of the defendant; and							
2	D.	(X)	the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community.							
3										
4			IV.							
5		The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the								
6	arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services									
7	Report/recommendation.									
8										
9			V.							
10		The C	Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:							
11	A.	(X)	As to flight risk: Defendant submitted to the Government's request for							
12			detention that was based upon its proffer and includes Defendant's lack of							
13			sufficient bail resources, residency and strong ties to Mexico since 2003,							
14			unemployed status, and history of warrants.							
15	В.	(X)	As to danger: Defendant's extensive criminal history involving drug							
16			related offenses and the nature of the charged offense.							
17										
18			VI.							
19	A.	()	The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will:							
20			1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.							
21			2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror							
22	В.	The (Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:							
23										
24		******								
25										
26										
27			VI.							
28	A.	IT IS	THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.							
			Page 3 of 4							

1	В.	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of
2		the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the
3		extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in
4		custody pending appeal.
5	C.	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable
6		opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
7	D.	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or on
8		request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the
9		corrections facility in which defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a
10		United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a
11		court proceeding.
12		
13	Dated	l: July 11, 2011 /s/ Arthur Nakazato
14		ARTHUR NAKAZATO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	I	